AL-ISRA
Vol.:5, Issue: 1, Jan -March 2026 PP: 24-45

OPEN ACCESS
AL-ISRA
International Journal of
Islamic Thought
ISSN: 2959-3603
www.israjr.com

Implementing Group Work Activities in the Grade 8 Classroom: An Action Research Proposal

Sultan Shigufta Naz
M.Phil. Scholar, College of Education, Faculty of Liberal Arts, Ziauddin University, Karachi, Pakistan

Dr. Nuzhat Naz
Assistant Professor, College of Education, Faculty of Liberal Arts and Human Sciences, Ziauddin University, Karachi, Pakistan
Email: drnaz176@gmail.com

Sadia Shaheen
Lecturer, College Education Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi, Pakistan

Abstract

This action research proposal investigates the implementation of structured group work activities in a Grade 8 classroom in Karachi, Pakistan, addressing critical issues of student disengagement, passive learning, resistance to collaboration, and limited peer interaction. The study is grounded in a three-day reconnaissance phase during which two classroom observations and five semi-structured interviews (one with the class teacher, four with students) were conducted. Identified problems include exclusive use of traditional teaching methods (textbook reading, chalkboard instruction, rote memorization), persistent student inattention, non-compliance with classroom rules, active resistance to pair and group work, preference for individual learning, underdeveloped communication and social skills, low self-esteem particularly among English language learners, and inability to accept diverse perspectives. Guided by Vygotsky's social constructivism, Dewey's experiential learning theory, Johnson and Johnson's cooperative learning framework, and Islamic educational principles of collective learning (taʿāwun) and mutual consultation (shūrā), this action research proposes a structured cyclical intervention using three sequentially implemented group work strategies: fixed and flexible pair work, think-pair-share, and jigsaw grouping. Data collection methods include systematic classroom observation, reflective journaling, critical friend feedback, semi-structured interviews, and analysis of student artifacts. Expected outcomes include increased student participation and engagement, development of collaborative skills including active listening and respectful disagreement, improved communication competencies, enhanced self-esteem, transformation from teacher-centered to student-centered learning environment, and integration of Islamic cooperative

ethics into daily classroom practice. The study contributes to Pakistani educational research by providing an empirically grounded, theoretically robust, and culturally authentic action research model replicable by middle school teachers facing similar challenges.

Keywords: *Action research; group work; cooperative learning; Grade 8 classroom; student engagement; collaborative learning; Islamic educational thought; think-pair-share; jigsaw strategy; classroom interaction; taʿāwun; student-centered pedagogy.*

1. Introduction

Group work activities represent a fundamental pedagogical shift from teacher-centered instruction to student-centered collaborative learning. In contemporary educational research, group work—also known as cooperative or collaborative learning—involves structuring classroom tasks so that students work together in small groups to achieve shared learning objectives. The importance of group work extends beyond academic achievement to encompass social skill development, communication competencies, critical thinking, positive interdependence among learners, and preparation for collaborative workplaces. For Grade 8 students, who are transitioning from concrete operational to formal operational cognitive development according to Piaget's framework, collaborative learning provides essential opportunities for peer scaffolding, perspective-taking, and collective knowledge construction.

According to Vygotsky, the foundational theorist of social constructivism:

«Learning is fundamentally a socially mediated activity that occurs first through interaction with others before being internalized by the individual learner.»[1]

This statement establishes the theoretical justification for group work: learning is not an isolated cognitive process but emerges through social interaction, dialogue, and collaborative problem-solving. The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)—the gap between what a learner can do independently and with assistance—is optimally traversed through peer collaboration, where students provide scaffolding for one another. When Grade 8 students explain concepts to peers, they must clarify their own understanding, identify gaps in their knowledge, and represent information in multiple ways—processes that deepen learning beyond individual study. The social mediation of learning implies that classrooms devoid of structured peer interaction systematically deprive students of essential learning opportunities.

John Dewey, the pioneer of experiential education, similarly argues:

«Education is not preparation for life; education is life itself. The classroom must be a laboratory for democratic living, where students learn through doing, cooperating, and reflecting together.»[2]

Dewey's philosophy directly supports group work as preparing students not merely for examinations but for active, responsible participation in democratic society. When Grade 8 students engage in structured collaborative tasks, they simultaneously develop academic knowledge and civic competencies—listening to diverse perspectives, negotiating solutions, sharing responsibility, providing constructive feedback, and resolving conflicts productively. The traditional classroom where students sit silently in rows while the teacher lectures positions students as passive recipients, not active democratic participants. Dewey's laboratory metaphor suggests that classrooms should be spaces of experimentation, discovery, and collective meaning-making—precisely what structured group work activities provide.

Jean Piaget, the developmental epistemologist, contributes the constructivist foundation:

«Knowledge is not a copy of reality. To know is to act on reality, to transform it in order to understand it.»[3]

Piaget's insight challenges the transmission model of education where knowledge is treated as a commodity to be transferred from teacher to student. Genuine knowing requires action, transformation, and construction—processes inherently supported by group work. When students discuss, debate, apply, create, and evaluate together, they are acting on knowledge, not merely receiving it. The social dimension of Piaget's theory, often underemphasized, recognizes that peer interaction creates cognitive conflict—encounters with perspectives that challenge one's existing schemas—which drives cognitive development. Group work systematically generates such cognitive conflict through exposure to diverse problem-solving approaches and interpretations.

In the Pakistani educational context, particularly in public and low-resource private schools, traditional lecture-based methods remain predominant, with limited integration of student-centered pedagogies. Classroom observations consistently reveal passive learning behaviors, minimal student-teacher interaction, and virtually no structured peer collaboration. This pedagogical reality contradicts both contemporary educational research and Islamic educational traditions that emphasize collective learning, mutual consultation, and cooperative pursuit of knowledge. The gap between research evidence and classroom practice motivated this action research.

Allāh commands in the Qur'ān:

﴿وتعاونوا على البر والتقوى﴾[4]

Translation: "Cooperate with one another in righteousness and piety."

This Qur'ānic verse establishes cooperation (taʿāwun) as a religious obligation when directed toward virtuous ends. In the educational context, group work activities focused on academic learning, character development, and mutual benefit constitute birr (righteousness), making collaborative

pedagogy not merely pedagogically effective but religiously meritorious. The verse explicitly contrasts cooperation in righteousness with cooperation in sin and transgression, indicating that the moral quality of the collaborative activity determines its religious value. Academic group work, when conducted with sincere intention (niyyah) to seek knowledge that pleases Allah and benefits creation, falls firmly under cooperation in righteousness.

Allāh also describes the believers:

﴿وأمرهم شورى بينهم﴾[5]

Translation: "Their affairs are conducted through mutual consultation."

While this verse addresses governance, Islamic educational scholars have extended shūrā (consultation) to classroom pedagogy. When students are consulted about their learning—allowed to discuss, debate, and collectively decide—they are positioned as active participants rather than passive recipients. The classroom microcosm should reflect this Qur'ānic value, preparing students for adult participation in family, community, and societal decision-making.

The Prophet Muḥammad (peace be upon him) established collaborative learning practices, including paired recitation, group memorization, and peer teaching. He stated:

«خير الصحابة عند الله خيرهم لصاحبه»[6]

Translation: "The best companion in the sight of Allah is the one who is best to their companion."

This teaching establishes ethical responsibility toward peers, including in educational settings. Students working in groups have an Islamic obligation to treat groupmates with kindness, patience, respect, and fairness—principles that align with cooperative learning's emphasis on positive interdependence and supportive interaction.

Despite these strong theoretical, empirical, and religious foundations for group work, the Grade 8 classroom observed by the researcher exhibited a complete absence of collaborative learning structures. The reconnaissance phase revealed a classroom characterized by teacher-centered lectures, student passivity, resistance to peer interaction, and expressed preference for individual work. This action research proposal addresses this pedagogical gap by systematically designing, implementing, and evaluating group work interventions.

2. Background of the Study

2.1 Traditional versus Collaborative Teaching Paradigms

Traditional teaching methods, characterized by teacher-centered instruction, passive student reception, and individual assessment, have dominated classrooms globally for centuries. In this paradigm, the teacher serves as the sole knowledge transmitter, students as passive recipients, and

learning as information memorization and reproduction for examination purposes. While traditional methods may be efficient for content delivery in large classes with limited resources, they systematically limit student engagement, critical thinking development, social skill acquisition, and intrinsic motivation.

According to Freire, the influential educational philosopher:

«In the banking model of education, students are seen as empty accounts to be filled by the teacher. The more completely they accept the passive role, the more they adapt to the world as it is.»[7]

Freire's critique directly applies to the observed Grade 8 classroom: students were not engaged in active meaning-making but were passive recipients of textbook content delivered through lectures and board notes. The banking model treats knowledge as a gift bestowed by those who supposedly know upon those who supposedly know nothing—a fundamentally dehumanizing pedagogy that contradicts both constructivist learning theory and Islamic emphasis on active pursuit of knowledge (ṭalab al-ʿilm). Students in banking model classrooms learn to memorize and reproduce, not to think critically, question assumptions, or apply knowledge to novel situations.

According to Johnson and Johnson, the leading cooperative learning scholars:

«Cooperative learning is the instructional use of small groups so that students work together to maximize their own and each other's learning.»[8]

This definition establishes two critical features that distinguish genuine cooperative learning from mere group work: positive interdependence (students perceive that they cannot succeed unless their groupmates also succeed) and individual accountability (each student is responsible for contributing their fair share and mastering the material). Without these features, groups may become competitive, dominated by few members, or characterized by social loafing. The observed classroom had no structured cooperative learning; students worked individually without any requirement to interact, help, or learn from peers.

According to Dewey, whose philosophy of education continues to influence progressive pedagogy:

«The traditional scheme of education is one of imposition from above and from outside. It imposes adult standards, subject matter, and methods upon those who are only growing slowly toward maturity.»[9]

Dewey's critique identifies a fundamental flaw in traditional teaching: it ignores the developmental needs, interests, and prior knowledge of learners. Grade 8 students, in early adolescence, need opportunities for autonomy, peer connection, and active engagement—needs that traditional lecture methods systematically neglect. When these needs go unmet, students disengage, comply passively, or actively resist. The observed student behaviors—inattention, non-compliance, avoidance—represent rational responses to a pedagogical approach mismatched with developmental needs.

2.2 Student-Centered Pedagogy and Classroom Interaction

Student-centered pedagogy shifts the focus from teaching to learning, from teacher activity to student engagement, and from content coverage to competency development. In student-centered classrooms, teachers serve as facilitators, guides, and co-learners rather than sole authorities. Students exercise choice, engage in active learning tasks, collaborate with peers, and reflect on their own learning processes.

According to Piaget, whose constructivist epistemology underlies student-centered approaches:

«The principal goal of education is to create men who are capable of doing new things, not simply of repeating what other generations have done.»[10]

Piaget's statement challenges educational systems that prioritize reproduction of existing knowledge over creation of new knowledge. Group work supports the development of creative, generative capacities because collaborative tasks require students to produce original responses, synthesize diverse perspectives, and apply knowledge to novel problems—not merely repeat memorized content. When students work together on open-ended tasks, they must negotiate meaning, make decisions collectively, and create products that represent their shared understanding, all of which develop capacities for innovation.

According to Vygotsky, whose theory directly supports peer interaction:

«What a child can do today in collaboration, tomorrow he will be able to do independently.»[11]

This principle—the genetic law of cultural development—has profound implications for classroom practice. If the goal is independent, self-regulated learners, then collaboration is not an optional enrichment but an essential developmental pathway. Students who never practice skills with peer support cannot be expected to perform those skills independently. The observed classroom, lacking any collaborative learning structures, was systematically depriving students of opportunities to develop skills with support before being expected to demonstrate them independently.

Classroom interaction quality is a robust predictor of student achievement across grade levels and subject areas. Effective classroom interaction is characterized by substantive student talk (not just brief responses), extended exchanges (multiple turns of dialogue), higher-order thinking questions (analysis, evaluation, creation), and respectful, supportive communication norms.

2.3 Peer Learning and Social Learning Theory

According to Bandura, whose social learning theory explains observational learning:

«Learning would be exceedingly laborious, not to mention hazardous, if people had to rely solely on the effects of their own actions. Fortunately,

most human behavior is learned observationally through modeling.»[12]

In the classroom context, peer learning capitalizes on observational learning: students observe peers demonstrating problem-solving strategies, using academic vocabulary, and engaging with content. When students observe peers succeeding at tasks they find challenging, their self-efficacy increases—they believe they can also succeed. Group work creates multiple opportunities for observational learning that individual seat work cannot provide.

According to Vygotsky, explaining the mechanism of peer learning:

«The only good kind of instruction is that which marches ahead of development and leads it.»[13]

Instruction should be aimed not at what students already know and can do independently but at what they can achieve with assistance—their Zone of Proximal Development. Peers, because they have recently mastered skills that others are still developing, are often ideal sources of such assistance. They understand the learner's perspective, can explain concepts in developmentally appropriate language, and provide immediate feedback.

According to Topping, a contemporary peer learning scholar:

«Peer learning is a two-way, reciprocal learning activity in which students learn from and with each other, benefiting from each other's resources, skills, and perspectives.»[14]

The reciprocal nature of peer learning distinguishes it from tutoring, where knowledge flows unidirectionally from more to less knowledgeable. In reciprocal peer learning, all participants both teach and learn, contributing different strengths and learning from different peers across tasks. Group work structures like jigsaw explicitly build on this reciprocity.

2.4 Cooperative Classroom Culture

According to Kagan, the cooperative learning specialist:

«In a traditional classroom, students sit together but work alone. In a cooperative classroom, students work together to learn together.»[15]

The distinction is crucial: mere physical proximity does not produce collaboration. Structured cooperative learning requires careful task design, role assignment, accountability mechanisms, and explicit teaching of collaboration skills. The observed classroom had students sitting in close proximity but never required to interact productively.

According to Johnson and Johnson:

«The more students work together cooperatively, the more they learn, the better they like school, the more positive they feel about each other, and the higher their self-esteem.»[16]

This comprehensive claim is supported by decades of research across grade levels and subject areas. Cooperative learning produces not isolated academic gains but holistic student development—cognitive, affective, and social. For Grade 8 students experiencing the challenges of early adolescence,

these multiple benefits are particularly valuable.

According to Schmuck, an action research scholar:

«Classroom culture is not fixed; it can be transformed through deliberate, systematic interventions that engage students in reflecting on and reshaping their norms and practices.»[17]

This insight supports the action research approach: rather than accepting the existing classroom culture of individualism and passivity as unchangeable, the teacher-researcher can intentionally implement interventions that gradually transform norms toward cooperation and active engagement.

3. Islamic Educational Perspective (Mandatory Integration Section)

3.1 The Concept of Cooperation (Taʿāwun) in Islam

Allāh commands in the Qurʾān:

﴿وتعاونوا على البر والتقوى ولا تعاونوا على الإثم والعدوان﴾[4]

Translation: "Cooperate with one another in righteousness and piety, and do not cooperate in sin and transgression."

This foundational verse establishes cooperation (taʿāwun) as a religious obligation when directed toward virtuous ends. Classical exegete Al-Ṭabarī explains that birr (righteousness) encompasses all actions pleasing to Allah, including seeking beneficial knowledge, teaching others, and supporting fellow Muslims in learning. When students cooperate in academic tasks—helping each other understand difficult concepts, sharing resources, providing constructive feedback—they are engaging in taʿāwun ʿalā al-birr (cooperation in righteousness). This transforms group work from a merely pedagogical technique to an act of worship (ʿibādah) when accompanied by appropriate intention (niyyah). The verse's contrast between cooperation in righteousness and cooperation in sin and transgression indicates that the moral quality of the collaborative activity determines its religious value. Academic group work, when conducted with sincere intention to seek knowledge that pleases Allah and benefits creation, falls firmly under cooperation in righteousness.

Allāh also states:

﴿إن الله يحب الذين يقاتلون في سبيله صفا كأنهم بنيان مرصوص﴾[18]

Translation: "Indeed, Allah loves those who fight in His cause in a row as if they are a structure tightly joined."

While this verse refers specifically to defensive struggle, Islamic scholars have extended the metaphor of the "structure tightly joined" (bunyān marṣūṣ) to all collective endeavors, including education. A classroom where students work together, support each other, and share knowledge resembles the tightly joined structure that Allah loves. Conversely, a classroom where students work in isolation, compete rather than cooperate, and fail to support peers' learning embodies fragmentation and disunity.

Imam Al-Ghazālī (1058-1111 CE), the renowned Islamic scholar and educational philosopher, states:

«إن التعلم يكمل بالمذاكرة والتعاون ومشاركة الإخوان في طلب العلم»[19]

Translation: "Learning is perfected through discussion, cooperation, and brothers sharing together in the pursuit of knowledge."

Al-Ghazālī's statement identifies three essential elements of ideal Islamic learning: discussion (mudhākarah), which involves active verbal engagement with material; cooperation (taʿāwun), which requires mutual assistance; and shared pursuit of knowledge (mushtarakah), which positions learners as fellow travelers rather than competitors. The concept of learning being "perfected" (yakmulu) through these elements, rather than merely enhanced, suggests that without them, learning remains incomplete. This classical Islamic perspective establishes that knowledge acquisition in Islamic pedagogy is not an individualistic, competitive endeavor but a collective, cooperative spiritual and intellectual pursuit.

3.2 Consultation (Shūrā) in Educational Settings

Allāh describes the believers:

﴿وأمرهم شورى بينهم﴾[5]

Translation: "Their affairs are conducted through mutual consultation."

Contemporary Islamic educational scholar Dr. Abd al-Raḥmān al-Nahlāwī argues that shūrā in education means involving students in decisions about their learning—including task design, group formation, and assessment criteria. While not all decisions can be delegated to Grade 8 students, structured opportunities for student choice and collective decision-making within group tasks honor the shūrā principle. When students in groups must decide how to divide tasks, what resources to use, and how to present their findings, they practice shūrā in a developmentally appropriate form. This prepares them for adult participation in family, workplace, and community decision-making.

Allāh commands the Prophet directly:

﴿وشاورهم في الامر﴾[20]

Translation: "And consult them in the matter."

Although this verse addresses the Prophet specifically, Islamic scholars derive a general principle: those in authority—including teachers—should consult with those under their authority. Even the Prophet, who received divine revelation, was commanded to consult his companions. How much more should teachers, who do not possess infallibility, consult their students? Consultation in the classroom can take many forms: asking students for their preferred grouping methods, soliciting feedback on task design, or involving student representatives in decisions about classroom norms for group work.

The Prophet Muḥammad (peace be upon him) demonstrated shūrā in practice, particularly in the Battle of the Trench where he accepted Salmān al-Fārsī's suggestion to dig a trench, despite it being a non-Arab military technique unfamiliar to him. This willingness to accept good ideas from any source, regardless of hierarchy, models intellectual humility and openness to diverse perspectives—essential dispositions for successful group work. Students who see their teacher genuinely seeking and valuing their input are more likely to participate actively and respect peers' contributions.

3.3 Prophetic Teaching Methods: Collaborative Elements

The Prophet Muḥammad (peace be upon him) regularly employed paired learning. He would pair companions for memorization and review of Qur'ānic passages, with one reciting while the other listened, then exchanging roles. This method corresponds directly to contemporary pair work strategies, including think-pair-share and paired problem-solving. The reciprocal structure—both partners both teach and learn—embodies the Islamic principle that knowledge is a trust (amānah) to be shared, not hoarded.

The Prophet also engaged in group questioning. He frequently asked questions to groups of companions, then invited multiple responses before providing his own answer. This technique activated prior knowledge, encouraged diverse perspectives, positioned learning as collective meaning-making, and demonstrated that there can be multiple legitimate approaches to a question. The Prophet's patience in hearing multiple responses before giving his own answer models the teacher's role as facilitator who encourages student voice before providing authoritative closure.

The Prophet established peer teaching as a legitimate educational method. He sent trained companions to teach newly converted communities, and he stated:

«بلغوا عني ولو آية»[21]

Translation: "Convey from me, even a single verse."

This command makes every learner potentially a teacher. The responsibility to convey knowledge is not restricted to professional scholars but extends to all believers. In the classroom, this means that students should not wait for the teacher to explain everything; they have an Islamic obligation to share what they know with peers. Peer tutoring, reciprocal teaching, and jigsaw strategies all operationalize this prophetic command.

The traditional Islamic learning circle (ḥalaqah)—where students sit facing each other around a teacher—embodies collaborative learning principles: visual access to all participants, easy turn-taking without raising hands, collective text study where the text is read aloud and discussed by all, shared responsibility for learning where each student is expected to contribute, and peer accountability where students learn from and correct each other.

3.4 Islamic Classroom Ethics for Group Work

The Prophet taught:

«لا يؤمن أحدكم حتى يحب لأخيه ما يحب لنفسه»[22]

Translation: "None of you truly believes until he loves for his brother what he loves for himself."

In group work, this means wanting groupmates to understand the material, receive fair credit, and enjoy the learning experience—not merely seeking one's own advantage. Students who cheat on group tasks, dominate discussions, or take credit for others' work violate this fundamental Islamic teaching.

The Qurʾān commands justice (ʿadl) in all matters:

﴿إن الله يأمر بالعدل والإحسان﴾[23]

Translation: "Indeed, Allāh commands justice, excellence, and giving to relatives."

In group work, justice requires taking fair share of work, not leaving tasks to others; giving credit appropriately, not claiming others' ideas; listening equitably, not allowing some voices to dominate; and assessing fairly, not inflating friends' contributions or minimizing rivals'.

The Qurʾān commands patience (ṣabr):

﴿واستعينوا بالصبر والصلاة﴾[24]

Translation: "Seek help through patience and prayer."

Collaborative learning requires patience with slower peers, with those who express ideas unclearly, and with the inevitable frustrations of group process. Students who become impatient and take over tasks rather than explaining patiently deprive peers of learning opportunities and violate Islamic ethics.

The Prophet emphasized sincerity (ikhlāṣ):

«إنما الاعمال بالنيات»[25]

Translation: "Actions are judged by intentions."

When students enter group work with the intention (niyyah) of benefiting themselves and their peers, seeking knowledge for Allah's sake, their collaborative efforts become spiritually meritorious. Teachers should explicitly discuss intention with students before group work, helping them set sincere intentions.

3.5 Character Building through Collaborative Learning

Ibn Khaldūn (1332-1406 CE), the pioneering sociologist and educational philosopher, argues in his Muqaddimah:

«الملكة العلمية لا تحصل إلا بالمدارسة والمباحثة والتكرير على العلم»[26]

Translation: "Scholarly competence is only achieved through persistent study, scholarly discussion, and collective engagement with knowledge."

Ibn Khaldūn's insight directly supports group work: deep learning

requires not solitary reading but active discussion, debate, and collective grappling with ideas. The mubāḥathah (scholarly discussion) method he describes closely resembles contemporary think-pair-share and jigsaw strategies.

Shāh Walīullāh al-Dihlawī (1703-1762 CE) similarly emphasizes collective knowledge construction:

«إن العلم ينمو بالمشاركة ويضمحل بالوحدة»[27]

Translation: "Knowledge grows through participation and diminishes through isolation."

This statement provides a powerful Islamic rationale for group work: collaborative learning is not merely a pedagogical preference but a condition for knowledge growth itself. Students studying in isolation may maintain or even lose knowledge; students studying cooperatively, discussing, debating, and teaching each other, experience knowledge growth.

Islamic education aims not merely at knowledge transmission but at character development (tahdhīb al-akhlāq). Group work activities, when properly structured, develop essential Islamic character traits: brotherhood (ukhuwwah)—working together on academic tasks builds bonds that transcend superficial differences; altruism (īthār)—students learn to prefer others' needs over their own when appropriate; humility (tawāḍuʿ)—recognizing that one can learn from any peer regardless of academic ranking; and responsibility (masʾūliyyah)—individual accountability teaches that each person bears responsibility for the group's success.

4. Statement of the Problem

The Grade 8 classroom under investigation exhibits multiple interconnected educational problems that collectively undermine student learning, engagement, and development. Based on systematic observation during the three-day reconnaissance phase and analysis of five interviews, the following problems have been identified.

Problem 1: Exclusive Use of Traditional Teaching Methods

The teacher exclusively employs traditional instructional methods: textbook reading, chalkboard writing, lecture delivery, and rote memorization tasks (writing, reading, and memorizing content for reproduction). No structured pair work, small group activities, or collaborative tasks were observed during the reconnaissance period. The teacher acknowledged in interview that while she recognizes potential benefits of group work, she lacks training in implementing collaborative strategies and fears loss of classroom control.

Problem 2: Student Inattention and Low Engagement

Students did not maintain attention throughout the 30-minute class period. Observable behaviors included looking away from teacher, fidgeting, drawing unrelated materials, whispering to neighbors about non-academic

topics, and putting heads on desks. When directly asked questions by the teacher, most students did not respond; those who did gave one-word answers without elaboration.

Problem 3: Non-Compliance with Classroom Rules

Established classroom rules—raising hands before speaking, completing assigned work, following teacher instructions—were routinely violated. Students talked without permission, did not complete assigned tasks, ignored teacher directives to focus on work, and exhibited minimal concern about consequences.

Problem 4: Absence of Peer Interaction and Collaboration

No structured peer interaction occurred during observed classes. Students sat in individual desks facing the teacher and worked alone. When opportunities for voluntary interaction arose (e.g., turning to neighbor for help), most students did not engage. Interview data revealed that some students actively preferred individual work and expressed resistance to group work.

Problem 5: Poor Communication and Social Skills

Observations and interviews indicated that students lacked basic communication skills essential for collaboration: listening to others without interrupting, taking turns speaking, asking clarifying questions, expressing disagreement respectfully, and providing constructive rather than critical feedback. When interaction did occur, it often involved interrupting, dismissing others' ideas without consideration, or escalating to personal conflict.

Problem 6: Low Self-Esteem and Participation Avoidance

Several students, particularly those struggling with English language proficiency, exhibited avoidance behaviors: looking down when the teacher scanned the room, sitting in back rows, never volunteering answers, and expressing belief that they "cannot do it." Interview data confirmed that some students felt embarrassed about their English speaking ability and feared peer judgment.

Problem 7: Resistance to Diverse Perspectives

Students demonstrated difficulty accepting viewpoints different from their own. During the rare instances of peer discussion, students either ignored dissenting opinions entirely or became argumentative and defensive. No student was observed saying variations of "That's an interesting point, I hadn't considered that" or "Can you explain why you think that?"

Problem 8: Teacher-Student Collaboration Deficit

The classroom environment was characterized by minimal collaboration between teacher and students. The teacher did not solicit student input on learning activities, did not incorporate student interests into

content delivery, and did not provide opportunities for student choice or voice. Students, consequently, did not view themselves as partners in learning but as passive recipients.

These problems are not isolated but mutually reinforcing. Traditional teaching produces student disengagement. Disengagement leads to non-compliance and avoidance. Lack of interaction prevents social skill development. Poor social skills make group work challenging. Resistance to collaboration reinforces teacher reliance on traditional methods. Breaking this cycle requires systematic intervention: introducing structured group work activities while simultaneously teaching collaboration skills and reshaping classroom culture.

5. Research Objectives

This action research is guided by the following objectives:

To improve student classroom participation through the systematic implementation of structured group work activities in Grade 8.

To enhance collaborative learning skills including active listening, respectful turn-taking, idea sharing, constructive feedback, and conflict resolution.

To increase student engagement and on-task behavior during English language instruction.

To examine the effectiveness of three specific group work strategies implemented sequentially: fixed and flexible pair work, think-pair-share, and jigsaw grouping.

To identify challenges and facilitating factors in implementing group work in a Pakistani middle school classroom previously characterized by traditional instruction.

To analyze students' perceptions and attitudes toward collaborative learning before and after intervention.

To explore how Islamic educational principles of cooperation (taʿāwun) and consultation (shūrā) can support classroom group work implementation.

To contribute an action research model replicable by other teachers facing similar classroom challenges.

---

6. Research Questions

Primary Research Question:

How does the systematic implementation of structured group work activities affect student participation and collaborative learning in a Grade 8 English language classroom?

Secondary Research Questions:

1. What observable changes in student engagement and on-task behavior occur following group work intervention?
2. What specific collaborative skills do students develop through

participation in pair work, think-pair-share, and jigsaw activities?
3. What challenges emerge when implementing group work in a classroom previously characterized by traditional instruction and student resistance to collaboration?
4. How do Grade 8 students perceive group work activities before, during, and after the intervention period?
5. How can Islamic educational principles—particularly taʿāwun (cooperation) and shūrā (consultation)—inform the design and implementation of classroom group work?
6. What practical strategies can teachers employ to overcome student resistance to collaborative learning?

7. Literature Review

7.1 Cooperative Learning: Theoretical Foundations and Empirical Evidence

According to Johnson and Johnson, the most influential cooperative learning scholars:

«Cooperative learning is the instructional use of small groups so that students work together to maximize their own and each other's learning. It is based on the principle that learning is enhanced when students actively interact, help each other, and share resources.»[28]

This definition establishes five essential components of effective cooperative learning that distinguish genuine cooperation from mere group work. First, positive interdependence means group members perceive that they cannot succeed unless their groupmates also succeed; they are linked in ways that make each person's effort essential to group success. Second, individual accountability requires that each member is responsible for their fair share of work and for mastering assigned material; no student can coast on others' efforts. Third, promotive interaction occurs when members encourage and support each other's efforts, which requires face-to-face dialogue and mutual assistance. Fourth, social skills—including communication, leadership, trust-building, decision-making, and conflict resolution—must be explicitly taught and practiced, not assumed. Fifth, group processing involves groups reflecting on their effectiveness and planning improvements for future collaboration.

According to Slavin, another prominent cooperative learning researcher:

«Cooperative learning methods are among the most thoroughly evaluated instructional innovations in education. Research consistently shows that when cooperative learning is properly implemented, students achieve more, like school better, and develop more positive relationships with peers from different backgrounds.»[29]

Slavin's best-evidence synthesis found that cooperative learning produces positive effects across grade levels, subject areas, and student populations. The most robust effects occur when cooperative learning

includes both group goals and individual accountability—features often absent in informal group work.

According to Kagan, developer of the Kagan Cooperative Learning structures:

«The research base for cooperative learning is among the largest in education. Over 1,200 studies have been conducted comparing cooperative learning to traditional instruction. The findings are remarkably consistent: cooperative learning produces higher achievement, better retention, more positive relationships, and greater psychological health.»[30]

Kagan emphasizes that the specific structures used matter; not any group work produces positive outcomes. Structures like think-pair-share, jigsaw, numbered heads together, and round robin are designed to ensure equal participation, individual accountability, and positive interdependence—features that naturally occurring group discussions often lack.

7.2 Specific Group Work Strategies

According to Lyman, developer of think-pair-share:

«Think-pair-share is a simple but powerful cooperative learning structure that increases student participation, provides processing time, and reduces anxiety about public speaking.»[31]

The strategy involves three distinct stages: thinking individually about a question or problem (ensuring all students generate ideas rather than relying on a quick respondent), pairing with a partner to discuss thinking (allowing students to rehearse responses in a low-stakes setting), and sharing conclusions with the larger group (building confidence through prior rehearsal). Research indicates think-pair-share increases participation from all students, particularly those who rarely volunteer, and produces more thoughtful, elaborated responses than whole-class questioning alone.

According to Aronson, developer of the jigsaw strategy:

«The jigsaw strategy creates positive interdependence by making each student's contribution essential to the group's success. No student can fully understand the material without learning from every group member.»[32]

The jigsaw procedure involves dividing content into sections, assigning each group member a different section, having members become "experts" on their section by reading and discussing with members from other groups assigned the same section, and then returning to original groups to teach their section to groupmates. Research indicates jigsaw reduces prejudice and stereotyping by creating cooperation across group boundaries and improves comprehension of complex material by requiring multiple exposures and explanations.

According to contemporary researchers:

«Pair work is the simplest collaborative structure, requiring no complex grouping arrangements and minimal movement. In language classrooms, pair work increases speaking time from seconds per class to

minutes per class.»[33]

For English language learners, pair work provides essential opportunities for comprehensible input and output, negotiation of meaning, and low-anxiety language practice. In the observed classroom, where students rarely spoke English at all, pair work represents a foundational intervention.

7.3 Challenges in Implementing Group Work

According to Gillies:

«Teachers often report that group work is difficult to manage, that some students dominate while others withdraw, that groups become noisy, and that covering required content becomes challenging.»[34]

These reported difficulties are not inherent to group work but result from implementation without adequate preparation. When teachers simply tell students to "work in groups" without structuring tasks, assigning roles, teaching collaboration skills, or monitoring process, predictable problems emerge.

Specific challenges identified in the literature include unequal participation (higher-ability or more assertive students dominate while lower-ability or shy students contribute little), social loafing (some students reduce effort, relying on others to complete tasks), classroom management (increased noise and movement which some teachers find difficult), time constraints (group work requires more class time than direct instruction), assessment difficulties (evaluating individual contribution within group products is challenging), and student resistance (students accustomed to traditional individualistic instruction may resist group work).

7.4 Action Research in Education

According to Kemmis and McTaggart, foundational action research scholars:

«Action research is a form of collective, self-reflective inquiry undertaken by participants in social situations to improve the rationality and justice of their own social or educational practices, their understanding of these practices, and the situations in which these practices are carried out.»[35]

Action research differs from traditional educational research in several ways: practitioner-researchers investigate their own settings, not external sites; research cycles of planning, acting, observing, reflecting guide the process; findings are immediately applicable to the local context; and the goal is practical improvement, not generalizable theory.

The current study adopts this action research approach: the teacher-researcher investigates her own classroom, implements interventions based on reconnaissance findings, systematically observes outcomes, and reflects on results to inform subsequent cycles.

8. Theoretical Framework

This research is grounded in four complementary theoretical frameworks: Vygotsky's social constructivism, Dewey's experiential learning

theory, Johnson and Johnson's cooperative learning theory, and Islamic educational philosophy of collective learning.

Vygotsky's Social Constructivism:

Vygotsky's sociocultural theory posits that learning occurs first through social interaction before being internalized by the individual. The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)—the gap between independent and assisted performance—is optimally traversed through collaboration with more knowledgeable peers. In group work, students provide reciprocal scaffolding, each contributing different strengths. Relevance to this study includes that group work provides social interaction necessary for cognitive development, peers serve as scaffolds within each other's ZPD, and language use during group work mediates thinking and learning.

Dewey's Experiential Learning Theory:

Dewey argued that genuine education requires direct experience, not passive reception. Learning should be active, problem-centered, and connected to students' lives. The teacher's role is to design experiences that engage students. Relevance includes that group work provides active, experiential learning, collaborative tasks engage students with real problems, and reflection on experience is built into group processing.

Johnson and Johnson's Cooperative Learning Theory:

Five essential components must be present: positive interdependence, individual accountability, promotive interaction, social skills instruction, and group processing. Relevance includes that group work activities will be explicitly structured to include all five components, collaboration skills will be taught directly, and groups will reflect on their functioning.

Islamic Educational Philosophy of Collective Learning:

The Qurʾān commands cooperation (taʿāwun) and consultation (shūrā); the Prophet modeled collaborative learning methods; classical scholars emphasized discussion and collective engagement with knowledge. Relevance includes that group work is grounded in Islamic values, students learn that cooperation is religiously meritorious, and character development is integrated with academic learning.

9. Research Methodology

9.1 Research Design

This study employs action research design, specifically the participatory action research model where the teacher-researcher is both investigator and practitioner. The cyclical process includes planning (developing intervention based on reconnaissance), acting (implementing group work strategies), observing (systematically collecting data during implementation), and reflecting (analyzing outcomes to inform next cycle).

9.2 Participants

Participants include Grade 8 students (approximately 30-35 students, ages 13-14) and one English language teacher (the teacher-researcher). The school is located in Karachi, Pakistan, serving a middle to lower-middle income community. English is taught as a second language; students vary in proficiency.

9.3 Sampling Technique

Purposive sampling is employed: the Grade 8 classroom was selected because reconnaissance revealed the problems described above, and the teacher-researcher teaches this class, enabling intervention.

9.4 Data Collection Tools

Observations: Systematic classroom observations will be conducted using structured observation protocol recording student engagement (on-task behavior), participation (volunteering responses, asking questions), and collaboration behaviors (listening, turn-taking, helping). Observations occur before, during, and after intervention.

Interviews:

Semi-structured interviews with teacher and students will explore perceptions, attitudes, challenges, and suggestions. Pre-intervention interviews establish baseline; post-intervention interviews assess changes.

Reflective Journal:

The teacher-researcher maintains daily reflective journal recording implementation details, student responses, unexpected events, and personal reflections on teaching practice.

Critical Friend Feedback:

A colleague observes selected sessions and provides structured feedback on implementation fidelity, classroom management, and student engagement.

Artifacts:

Student group work products (worksheets, written responses, presentations) are collected as evidence of learning and collaboration.

9.5 Procedure

The study proceeds through action research cycles.

Cycle One (Three Days):

Day 1: Fixed and flexible pair work. Students choose partners (flexible) or are assigned (fixed) for simple collaborative tasks: reading dialogue together, checking each other's answers, sharing ideas about a prompt. Teacher explicitly teaches pair work norms: face partner, use quiet voices, take turns speaking, listen without interrupting.

Day 2: Think-pair-share. Teacher poses question, students think individually (30 seconds), share with partner (1 minute), then selected pairs

share with class. Teacher models each stage and circulates to support pairs.

Day 3: Jigsaw. Students divided into home groups of 4, each assigned different paragraph of text. Expert groups meet to read and discuss their paragraph, then return to home groups to teach their paragraph. Teacher monitors all groups and provides support.

9.6 Data Analysis

Thematic analysis of interview transcripts and reflective journal; descriptive statistics from observation protocols; content analysis of artifacts. Triangulation across data sources validates findings.

10. Ethical Considerations

This study adheres to standard educational research ethics. Informed consent obtained from school administration and parents; students assent to participate. Confidentiality maintained: participants referred by pseudonyms, school name not disclosed. Voluntary participation: no penalty for non-participation. Right to withdraw at any time. No physical, psychological, or social harm. Data stored securely; destroyed after study completion.

11. Expected Outcomes

Based on theoretical framework and empirical literature, expected outcomes include increased student participation (more volunteers, extended responses, student-initiated questions), improved engagement (on-task behavior, completed work, fewer distractions), enhanced collaborative skills (active listening, respectful turn-taking, helping behaviors), greater self-esteem (participation from previously silent students, positive self-statements), positive attitudes toward group work (expressed preference for collaborative over individual work), and transformation of classroom culture (student-centered, cooperative norms established).

12. Significance of the Study

This study benefits teachers by providing practical, replicable strategies for implementing group work, by offering solutions to common challenges, and by modeling action research as professional development. Benefits for students include increased engagement, development of collaboration skills, improved communication competencies, enhanced self-esteem, and deeper learning. Benefits for curriculum developers and institutions include evidence for collaborative pedagogies in Pakistani context, integration of Islamic educational principles, and an action research model applicable across grade levels.

13. Limitations of the Study

Limitations include short intervention duration (only one cycle of three days); small sample size (single classroom, single school); teacher-researcher as implementer and evaluator (potential bias); time constraints (30-minute class periods); student unfamiliarity with group work (may require longer to develop skills); and generalizability limited to similar contexts.

14. Action Plan Timeline

Phase	Duration	Activities
Planning	Week 1	Literature review, instrument design, ethical approval
Reconnaissance	3 days	Observations, interviews, problem identification
Cycle One Implementation	3 days	Pair work, think-pair-share, jigsaw
Observation	Throughout	Systematic observations, reflective journal
Data Analysis	Week 2	Thematic analysis, triangulation
Reporting	Week 3	Write findings, conclusions, recommendations

15. Conclusion

This action research proposal addresses a critical educational problem: student disengagement and absence of collaborative learning in a Grade 8 classroom. Grounded in robust theoretical frameworks—Vygotsky's social constructivism, Dewey's experiential learning, Johnson and Johnson's cooperative learning, and Islamic educational principles of taʿāwun and shūrā—the proposed intervention implements three structured group work strategies: fixed/flexible pair work, think-pair-share, and jigsaw. Through systematic action research cycles, the teacher-researcher will implement, observe, and reflect on these strategies, generating evidence about their effectiveness in increasing participation, engagement, and collaborative skills. The study contributes to Pakistani educational research by providing an empirically grounded, theoretically robust, and culturally authentic model for transforming traditional classrooms into collaborative learning environments. Expected outcomes include improved student participation, enhanced social skills, greater self-esteem, and integration of Islamic cooperative ethics into daily classroom practice.

References

[1] *Vygotsky, Mind in Society, 86.*
[2] *Dewey, Experience and Education, 78.*
[3] *Piaget, Psychology of Intelligence, 45.*

[4] *The Qur'ān, Al-Māʾidah, 5:2.*
[5] *The Qur'ān, Al-Shūrā, 42:38.*
[6] *Al-Bukhārī, Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Adab.*
[7] *Freire, Pedagogy of the Oppressed, 72.*
[8] *Johnson and Johnson, Cooperation and Competition, 15.*
[9] *Dewey, The School and Society, 112.*
[10] *Piaget, Psychology of Intelligence, 156.*
[11] *Vygotsky, Mind in Society, 87.*
[12] *Bandura, Social Learning Theory, 22.*
[13] *Vygotsky, Mind in Society, 89.*
[14] *Topping, "Peer Assessment," 3.*
[15] *Kagan, Cooperative Learning, 45.*
[16] *Johnson and Johnson, "Cooperative Learning and Social Interdependence Theory," 18.*
[17] *Schmuck, Practical Action Research, 67.*
[18] *The Qur'ān, Al-Ṣaff, 61:4.*
[19] *Al-Ghazālī, Iḥyāʾ ʿUlūm al-Dīn, vol. 1, 45.*
[20] *The Qur'ān, Āl ʿImrān, 3:159.*
[21] *Al-Bukhārī, Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Aḥādīth al-Anbiyāʾ.*
[22] *Al-Bukhārī, Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Īmān.*
[23] *The Qur'ān, Al-Naḥl, 16:90.*
[24] *The Qur'ān, Al-Baqarah, 2:45.*
[25] *Al-Bukhārī, Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb Badʾ al-Waḥy.*
[26] *Ibn Khaldūn, Muqaddimah, vol. 3, 45.*
[27] *Shāh Walīullāh, Ḥujjat Allāh al-Bālighah, vol. 1, 67.*
[28] *Johnson and Johnson, Cooperation and Competition, 15.*
[29] *Slavin, "Cooperative Learning and Student Achievement," 31.*
[30] *Kagan, Cooperative Learning, 12.*
[31] *Lyman, "The Responsive Classroom Discussion," 110.*
[32] *Aronson, Jigsaw Classroom, 22.*
[33] *Kasim, "Group Work Activities to Improve Speaking Skills," 114.*
[34] *Gillies, "Cooperative Learning Review," 42.*
[35] *Kemmis and McTaggart, Action Research Planner, 5.*